List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 1				
Application Reference	LA05/2022/0096/F	Date Valid	27.01.2	2022
Description of Proposal	Extension to the curtilage of the existing property to provide stables for horses and storage of equipment	Location	38a La Moira Craigav	verysbridge Road von
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Brenda	Ferguson
Reasons for Recon	nmendation			
All relevant planning	material considerations hav	e been satisfie	ed.	
Representations				
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Pe	titions	Support Petitions
1	N/A	N/Ā		N/A
Consideration of O	bjections	1		
Issue	Consideration of Issue			
Extension of curtilage unnecessary and wil lead to ribbon development and harm rural character	The proposal is to extend the curtilage and provide a single storey ancillary building to accommodate horses for personal use. The stables will be conditioned to be ancillary to the domestic use and the curtilage extension has been reduced in area and will not be visible from the road nor will it lead to ribboning.			
Original planning permission required boundaries to be clearly defined with a fence and hedge planted and this has yet to be completed.				
Commercial business running from address which is against planning approvals.	A site inspection was car commercial business ope			no visible sign of a

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 2				
item Number 2				
Application Reference	LA05/2022/1040/F	Date Valid	04.11.2	2022
Description of Proposal	An application under Section 54 of The Planning Act (Northern Ireland) 2011 to vary Condition No. 6 (re permitted operating hours) of approval LA05/2021/0218/F for extension to existing Materials Recovery Facility (MRF), addition of 29 No. EWC codes, hard surfacing, weighbridge, interceptor, 2.4m close boarded timber fence, parking provision and access. Proposal seeks to extend operating hours on Saturdays from 0800- 1300 to 0800-1500 and permit work on Bank Holidays.	Location	Lands a Road L	at No 5 Sheepwalk isburn
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Malcolr	m Kinghan
Reasons for Reco	nmendation			
All relevant plannin	g material considerations hav	e been satisfie	ed.	
Representations				
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Per	titions	Support Petitions
2	N/A	N/A		N/A
Consideration of C	bjections			
Issue	Consideration of Issue			
The existing debris, noise and traffic will be exacerbated following an increase in activity.	The proposed extended time applies only to 2 hours on a Saturday and work on Bank Holidays. This is considered a minor increase with no significant impact on amenity. LCCC Environmental Health have no objection.			

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 3				
item number 3				
Application Reference	LA05/2021/0609/O	Date Valid	18.05.2021	
Description of Proposal	2 no infill dwellings and garages	Location	30m North of 6 Cross Lane Lisburn	
Group Recommendation	Refusal	Case Officer	Cara Breen	
Reasons for Recor	nmendation		·	
The proposal is contrary to Paragraph 6.73 of the SPPS and Policy COU1 of the Lisburn and Castlereagh City Council draft Plan Strategy (as modified by the Direction of the Department) in that it is not a type of development which in principle is considered to be acceptable in the countryside.				
The proposal is contrary to bullet point 5 of Paragraph 6.73 of the SPPS and Policy COU8 of the Lisburn and Castlereagh City Council draft Plan Strategy (as modified by the Direction of the Department) in that the development, if approved, would add to a ribbon of development along Cross Lane. There is no small gap sufficient to accommodate two dwellings whilst respecting the existing pattern of development.				
The proposal is contrary to the SPPS and Policy COU16 of the Lisburn and Castlereagh City Council draft Plan Strategy (as modified by the Direction of the Department) in that the proposed development does not respect the traditional pattern of settlement exhibited in that area and as such would, if permitted, result in an adverse impact on the rural character of the area.				
Representations				
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Pe	etitions Support Petitions	
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Consideration of Objections				
Issue	Consideration of Issue			

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / recommendation to refuse

Item Number 4				
Application	LA05/2021/0321/F	Date Valid	23.03.	2021
Reference			440.0	
Description of	Retention of outdoor	Location		omber Road
Proposal	activity area and all associated structures		Ballyh	
	for the purpose of			orough
	paintballing and			
	change of use of			
	agricultural building for			
	reception, office and			
	storage use			
	associated with the			
	paintballing activity			
•	(retrospective)		0.	
Group Recommendation	Approval	Case Officer	Sinead	d McCloskey
Reasons for Recomme	endation			
All relevant planning ma	iterial considerations have	e been satisfie	ed.	
Representations				
Representations				
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Petitions	ions	
2	N/A	N/A		N/A
Consideration of Obje	ctions			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
lssue	Consideration of Issue			
Application is not	Following a review of the details provided with the application,			
valid - red line (site	amendments and inform		0	
area) not accurate, no	relation to the site area, the completion of question 25 on the P1			
figure is provided to	Form to provide an indication of expected increase in vehicles and			
show an increase in the number of visitors	persons to the site, clarification to any planning permission obtained			
to the site, incorrect	in relation to the existing building. A Biodiversity Checklist was also requested. Amendments were made to the site area and a Transport			
fee, plans unclear	Assessment Form was submitted. No additional fee was considered			
regarding the building	required.			
to be used as a store				
and whether it has				
planning permission.				
The application should	An EIA Determination w			
be subject to an EIA	considered under Scheo	ule 2 parts 1 [°]	1 (a) and	113 (a). A nil
		•	• •	
	determination was return	ned. The cun	nulative	impact of the racing and
		ned. The cun sidered throu	nulative gh repoi	impact of the racing and rts dealing with the

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / Lisburn & recommendation to refuse Castlereagh **City Council**

	own right and is not linked to the karting facility. The proposal was not considered to require an Environmental Statement.
The proposed development should be screened to determine whether an appropriate assessment is required (in relation to the Habitats Regulation Assessment).	A Biodiversity Checklist was requested and subsequently submitted along with a Preliminary Ecological Assessment and a Badger Report. Upon consultation with Natural Environment Division, they stated that they had considered the impacts of the proposal on designated sites and other natural heritage interests, and on the basis of the information provided had no concerns subject to conditions. An informal consultation with Shared Environmental Services confirmed the potential impact of this proposal on European Sites has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 43 (1) of the Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc.) (Northern Ireland) 1995 (as amended). The proposal would not have any conceivable effect on the features of any European Site.
Policy OS3 - The existing development is already having an unacceptable impact on the amenities of people living nearby, and without mitigation measures in place for the existing facility, the addition of a paintballing facility will only add to the level of interference with amenity.	The existing karting facility has been approved under a Certificate of Lawful Development. No further consideration of this development is proposed in this assessment, however the cumulative impact of this facility and the proposed was considered by Environmental Health. For reasons outlined in the report, the proposal is considered policy compliant and there will be no unacceptable impact on the amenities of people living nearby. The nearest dwelling is 255m away from the site, and after extensive consultation with Environmental Health with several Noise Impact Assessments having been submitted, they have no concerns in this regard.
Policy OS5 – The nature of paintballing activities is that there are sporadic loud noises, which can startle wildlife and interfere with livestock. Surrounding land is used for equestrian activities and the grazing of sheep and cattle – noise impact on animals, particularly horses and wildlife.	Following the submission of several Noise Impact Assessments and consultation with Environment Health, no concerns were raised in terms of noise disturbance. Following consultation with NIEA NED they responded stating that they had no concerns regarding the impact of the proposal on natural heritage interest, only drawing attention to the Badger Sett beyond the site boundary. The area around the site is within the ownership of the applicant, and as such there will be no unacceptable disturbance to neighbouring farm livestock. The application is therefore found to be compliant with policy OS3 (the draft Plan Strategy policy equivalent to Policy OS5).
Cartridges uses can be dangerous to wildlife.	Consultation has been carried out with NIEA NED with regards to natural heritage interests and they have confirmed that they are content. Paintballs are spherical gelatine capsules containing primarily polyethylene glycol, other non-toxic and water-soluble

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / Lisburn & recommendation to refuse Castlereagh **City Council**

	substances, and dye. Paintballs are made of materials found in food items and control over the ingestion of animals of any substance outside of the remit of planning.
Protected species use the site – a full ecological survey should be carried out.	As above, a Biodiversity Checklist was submitted along with a Preliminary Ecological Assessment and a Badger Report. Upon consultation with Natural Environment Division, they stated that they had considered the impacts of the proposal on designated sites and other natural heritage interests, and on the basis of the information provided had no concerns subject to conditions.
If planning permission is granted, the applicant should be required to enter into a Section 76 Agreement to provide noise attenuation measures at the existing race track and at the proposed paintball facility. Operating hours should be restricted to 9-5pm Monday – Saturday. The paintballing facility should not be permitted to operate during bird breeding season.	The Natural Environment Division did not offer any conditions in terms of the operation of the activity. Environmental Health did provide conditions relating to the operating hours, suggesting 0900- 2000 hours to safeguard the living conditions of nearby residents particularly with regard to the effects of noise. No other conditions relating to noise attenuation measures have been suggested by Environmental Health, with the only other suggested condition relating to the noise levels and what level should not be exceeded. No noise attenuation measures can be applied to the existing race track as this development is not included within the current development proposals and it has been approved under a separate application. The Council therefore will not request the applicant to enter into a Section 76 Agreement.
Impact the development will have on the neighbouring property – the neighbours have been engaged in an ongoing dispute about the noise of the karting business since 2014.	Any ongoing dispute with the karting business cannot be considered in the assessment of this application. The application has been assessed against the relevant policy and detailed consultation has been undertaken with the relevant statutory consultees and the proposal is found to be policy compliant and will not have a detrimental impact on any neighbouring properties.
Part 2 and 4 of Policy OS3 of PPS8 should be considered.	Following the release of the Draft Plan Strategy, the relevant policy context is no longer PPS8, but instead Part 8 – Open Space, Sport and Outdoor Recreation of the new plan. The application has been assessed again policy OS6 – Outdoor Recreation in the Countryside, which is the equivalent of the now superseded policy, Policy OS3. The proposal has been found compliant with all parts of the new policy for the reasons outlined in the report.

List of delegated planning applications with objections received / Lisburn & recommendation to refuse Castlereagh **City Council**

The proposal is	The application is found to be compliant with Policy OS3 in the draft
contrary to Item 2 of	Plan Strategy (the Policy equivalent of Policy OS5 in PPS8). The
Policy OS5 as the	proposal is located within an existing densely wooded area, which will
residents in the	contain and minimise the impact of the noise. The land around the
adjacent property	site is within the ownership of the applicant. The closest field to the
have horses in the	site outside of applicant's control is approximately 80m to the south
land bordering the	east, with most land separated from the site well in excess of this
Karting facility.	80m distance.
If planning permission	As above, extensive consultation was sought from Environmental
was granted proper	Health in relation to potential noise impacts and conditions provided
sound mitigation	to mitigate against any potential adverse effects on residential
measures are	amenity. No conditions were provided relating to mitigating measures
required.	of the proposal.