

Week Ending 10 February 2023

Item Number 1			
Application Reference	LA05/2022/0195/F	Date Valid	24.02.2022
Description of Proposal	Proposed change of use from agricultural out buildings to remote document storage facility including new access onto Lisnabreeny Road, all under PPS21 Policy CTY11 Farm Diversification	Location	Lands 20m south of 20 Lisnabreeny Road Belfast
Group	Refusal	Case	Richard McMullan
Recommendation		Officer	

Reasons for Recommendation

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY 1 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that there are no overriding reasons why this development is essential in this rural location and could not be located within a settlement.

The proposal is contrary to Para 6.73 of the SPPS and Policy CTY 11 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that;

-It has not been demonstrated that it is to be run in conjunction with the agricultural operations on the farm and its scale it would be inappropriate to its location.

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that;

- -the proposed site is unable to provide a suitable degree of enclosure for the development to integrate into the landscape.
- -the proposed development would rely primarily on the use of new landscaping for integration and therefore would not visually integrate into the surrounding landscape.

The proposal is contrary to Policy CTY14 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside in that the impact of ancillary works required to provide the development would damage the rural character of the area.

| Consideration of Objections | Consideration of Issue | Consideration



Week Ending 10 February 2023

Inappropriate use for buildings in rural setting.	When assessed against prevailing planning policy it is considered that the development as proposed would be an inappropriate use in the Countryside.
Increase of traffic danger with access at hazardous road junction.	Dfi Roads have been consulted within the processing of this application and can be seen to offer no objections. As such it is considered that no issues of concern in respect of traffic danger/road safety shall arise.
Unsightly ancillary works within view of National Trust property.	It is considered that proposed ancillary works as outlined would be visually unacceptable.



Week Ending 10 February 2023

	•	-				
Item Number 2						
Application Reference	LA05/2022/0582/F	Date Valid	15.09.2022			
Description of Proposal	Proposed change of use from beauty salon and offices to funeral home, including alteration of existing buildings and minor amendments to elevations	Location	32-34 Hillsborough Road, Lisburn, BT28 1AQ			
Group Recommendation	Arroval	Case Officer	Laura Mc Causland			
Reasons for Recor	mmendation					
All relevant planning	material considerations hav	e heen satisfie	ad a			
All relevant planning	g material considerations hav	re been sausne	u.			
Representations						
Objection Letters	Support Letters	Objection Per	titions	Support Petitions		
1	N/A	N/A		N/A		
Consideration of C	bjections					
Issue	Consideration of Issue					
Increased Traffic and Road Safety	The objector suggests that the proposed development would increase pedestrian and vehicular traffic to the funeral home. They consider this increase to be dangerous and may cause a serious incident or fatal accident. The objector disagrees with the agent's submitted figures around Question 25 on the P1 Form. No contrary evidence has been submitted by the objector to support this claim. In response to the objector's comments the agent has confirmed that information submitted with the application Question 25 on P1 Form is accurate and correct. The existing use on site is commercial in nature attracting staff and visitors to the site. DFI Roads have been consulted and have considered all information submitted with the application and offer no objection to the proposed development. The proposal is regarded to comply with SPPS and policy AMP2 of PPS 3.					
Disturbance on residential amenity	The objector has raised co funeral parlour before and restrict access to their hom due to the nature of this typundertaken outside of norm will be held between 9am-5 commercial in nature. Enviorsfer no objection to the prodisturbance.	after the service at these time of business had business hopm Monday – ronmental Hea	e would ones. The action of the some actions howers for the sound and th	disturb there peace and gent has confirmed tha tivities may be ever funeral services y. The existing use is been consulted and		



Week Ending 10 February 2023

Misleading information	The objector believes that drawing 05 is misleading in that the agent has shown incorrect land uses on the drawing in that, the identified car park on the drawing is not a carpark but a bus terminal that also creates traffic related issues. A site inspection has been carried out and bus terminal location noted. The site inspection was carried out at peak traffic time of 5pm. There was no evidence of the above mentioned traffic/parking issues no evidence has been submitted to support this claim. Assessment has only be made regarding what is being applied for not perceived traffic issues relating to the bus station. DFI Roads have been consulted and offer no objection to the proposed development. The proposal is regarded to comply with SPPS and policy AMP2 of PPS 3.
Similar type of approval nearby	Whilst every application must be assessed in its own merits the objector points out that permission has been granted for a funeral home on site 40-44 Hillsborough Road (LA05/2018/0787/F). This would indicate that previously Council accepted this type of use to be compatible with existing surrounding uses within the immediate vicinity of the proposal site. The proposed use does not conflict with the Local Development Plan or relevant town centre Planning Policies set out within the SPPS. Therefore it is deemed that the proposed development would not lead to a proliferation of this type of use at this location, nor would it change the character of the area or negatively impact upon or cause demonstrable harm to the vitality or viability of existing town centre uses.